ABSTRACT

BEAM MODELING FOR PGNAA EXPERIMENTAL FACILITY AT KARTINI REACTOR. A feasibility study on possible use of Kartini reactor’s beam port for Prompt Gamma Neutron Activation Analysis (PGNAA) experimental facility is going on. This work is part of the revitalization program activities of Kartini research reactor, including utilization of the available irradiation facilities. This paper presents results of beam modeling at the tangential beam port to get neutron beam meeting the specified criteria. The study was conducted by means of simulations using MCNPX code. The result concludes that there are several possible variations of collimator models that can provide neutron beam meeting the specified criteria and that tangential beam port is considered to be feasible enough for the purpose of PGNAA experimental facility
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ABSTRAK

PEMODELAN BERKAS UNTUK FASILITAS PGNAA PADA REAKTOR KARTINI. Pada saat ini sedang dilakukan studi kelayakan terkait kemungkinan penggunaan beam port dari reaktor Kartini untuk sarana eksperimen Prompt Gamma Neutron Activation Analysis (PGNAA). Kegiatan ini bagian dari program revitalisasi dari reaktor Kartini, termasuk pemanfaatan fasilitas iradiasi yang ada. Makalah ini menyajikan hasil dari pemodelan berkas pada beam port singgung untuk mendapatkan berkas neutron sesuai dengan persyaratan yang ditetapkan. Studi ini dilakukan berdasarkan dengan cara simulasi menggunakan program MCNPX. Dari hasil simulasi dapat disimpulkan bahwa ada beberapa variasi model kolimator yang dapat menghasilkan berkas neutron sesuai kriteria yang ditetapkan, dan beam port singgung cukup layak untuk digunakan sebagai fasilitas eksperimen PGNAA.

Kata kunci: pemodelan, berkas, fasilitas. PGNAA, reaktor Kartini.

INTRODUCTION

Kartini reactor is a pool type TRIGA mark II reactor, operated with nominal power of 100 kW, and equipped with several irradiation facilities, including 4 beam ports that can be used for many different applications, as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Horizontal cut view of Kartini Reactor.
Among the irradiation facilities available, Lazy Susan is being the most frequently used for elemental analysis, based on the Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA) techniques, which is known as DGNAAD (Delayed Gamma Neutron Activation Analysis).

In conjunction with the revitalization program of Kartini reactor, there is interest to build a PGNAA experimental facility by utilizing one of the beam ports which are still not utilized optimally, i.e. radial piercing, radial and tangential beam ports. PGNAA is another variant of NAA techniques, working based on the gamma rays emitted promptly following the decay of the compound nucleus (CN) formed in the excited state. The intensity of the gamma-rays emitted is directly proportional to isotopic (elemental) concentrations. This technique has enabled the in situ and on-line application such as those used in coal mining; cement industries, etc. (1-4).

PGNAA technique, basically can work with the whole range of neutron energy spectrum, depending on the neutronic properties of the sample to be analyzed. However for most research application it is preferred to use neutrons of lower energy range, since activation reactions occur mostly with neutrons of low energies. An exception is for nuclides having very low thermal neutron capture reaction such as carbon, it is preferred to use fast neutron, throughout the neutron inelastic scattering reaction (2).

For PGNAA working with thermal neutron, the contribution of epithermal activation reactions, may affect the accuracy of the result, and therefore it should be minimized as much as possible to a reasonable level. This commonly indicated with the amount of thermal over epithermal neutron fluxes ratio \( f \), implying that the higher the value of \( f \) will be the better the quality of the neutron beam accordingly. A minimum \( f \) value of 100 was considered to be reasonable, with minimum thermal neutron flux \( = 1.0 \times 10^6 \text{ n cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (5). In this respect, attempt will be made to get neutron beam meeting the above criteria.

A preliminary study has been carried out by characterizing the three kinds of beam ports to select the most suitable one which is going to be used; i.e. the radial piercing and tangential beam port (6) and then also to include the radial beam port which was previously used for neutron radiography experimental facility. The study was performed by means of simulation using MCNPX code. Figure 2 summaries results of simulation of the neutron beam at the beam ports exit and Figure 3 is the corresponding radiation dose of both neutron and photon radiation.

![Figure 2. Beam characteristics at the beam port exit.](image1)

![Figure 3. The radiation dose at the beam port exit.](image2)

The result shows that the radial piercing beam port provides the highest value of neutron fluxes. The photon radiation dose is also very high which is comparable with that of radial beam port. The high intensity of both fast neutrons and photons would need more works to do for both moderating the fast neutrons and suppressing the gamma rays as well. Radial beam port seen to give highest thermal neutron compared with the others, however the gamma rays radiation dose is of the same order with that of radial piercing beam port.

Finally the tangential beam port provides much lower fast neutron and gamma rays radiation dose. This can help simplify the problems of both moderating the fast neutron and suppressing the gamma rays. Based on the above results, it was then decided to use tangential beam port as the object of collimator modeling in this work. Figure 4 depicts the tangential beam port, consisting two sections i.e. inner section made of aluminum and outer section made of steel both with thickness of 0.95 cm.
METHODOLOGY

There are many different models of collimator having been developed, for several different applications \(^7\)\(^{-11}\). In this study, a basic geometrical model of collimator as represented in Figure 5 was used. The collimator consists of three main sections, i.e., inner, middle, and outer sections each with the length of \(L_1\), \(L_2\), and \(L_3\) respectively.

The goal of this work is to suppress the gamma rays component to a reasonable level that can help simplify the problem of shielding and to get neutron beam meeting the defined criteria. This will be performed by means of selecting the suitable materials and adjusting the dimensions of each collimator section. For this purpose, it will be prioritized to use materials available in local market and relatively inexpensive.

Lead (Pb), with density of 11.34 g/cm\(^3\) is good for suppressing gamma rays, and it has also low neutron capture cross section which is relatively transparent against neutron passage \(^12\),\(^13\). In this respect, it will be used for the main component of collimator wall.

Another material having similar properties with lead is bismuth (Bi) with density of 9.780 g/cm\(^3\). It has low neutron capture cross section and high neutron elastic cross section that can improve the neutron transmission. In this respect, it will be included as part of evaluation for material of filter, inner and or outer sections wall.

Polyethylene of high density (HDPE) with density of 0.95 g/cm\(^3\) has low neutron capture cross section and high elastic scattering cross section which could improve the moderation of fast neutrons. It was also included in the evaluation for material of inner and outer section wall to help increase the thermal neutron production.

All of the above materials are easily obtained in local market and relatively inexpensive. Figure 6, 7, and 8 shows the MCNPX plot of neutron capture cross sections of lead, bismuth and HDPE respectively and Fig. 9, 10, 11 are the corresponding elastic scattering cross sections.

The MCNP geometrical representation was prepared and a Visual Editor - VISED Version X_22S \(^15\) was used for visual reviewing of the geometrical model. Simulations were performed for several different models which include the variation of materials for both the filter and collimator wall of inner and outer sections, and the corresponding dimensions. In this study, the criticality source (KCODE) option was used to calculate neutrons and photons fluxes, normalized to reactor power of 100 kW.
Figure 6. Neutron capture cross section of HDPE.

Figure 7. Neutron capture cross section of bismuth.

Figure 8. Neutron capture cross section of lead.

Figure 9. Neutron elastic cross section of lead.

Figure 10. Neutron Elastic cross section of bismuth.

Figure 11. Neutron elastic cross section of HDPE.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Several cases of simulation had been exercised based on the basic collimator model as represented by Figure 5. Figure 12 shows a piece of MCNP geometrical representation of a collimator model placed at the outer section of the tangential beam port.

![Figure 12. Piece of the MCNP representation of collimator model.](image)

Table 1 presents results of several cases of simulation using filter material of either lead or bismuth, with the same thickness of 5.0 cm, which can provide neutron beam at the exit, meeting the minimum defined criteria.

In case-1, the filter and the wall of collimator sections all use material of lead. Based on the prescribed collimator dimensions the photons and the epithermal and fast neutrons can be removed from the beam at the exit. The thermal flux obtained is still higher than the defined minimum value of $1.0 \times 10^6 \, \text{n cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1}$.

In case-2, the filter material was replaced by bismuth (Bi) and the length of inner section (L-1) was extended by 10 cm, (which result in the reduction of L-2 by 10 cm). This way could result in the increase of thermal neutron flux, but then with the presence of fast neutrons component. The presence of fast neutron theoretically would not give a significant contribution to the accuracy of the PGNAA since the activation cross section is much lower than that of thermal neutron. However, if most of fast neutron energies close to the upper limit of epithermal energy, it could affect the accuracy of the result.

In case-3, simulation was made by replacing the material of inner section wall with bismuth and outer section wall with HDPE, whilst keeping the dimensions unchanged. This way could remove the fast neutron component, but with a bit appearance of gamma rays which can then be removed by slightly reducing the outer radius of aperture as seen in case-4. The thermal neutron beam obtained represents the average value of several repeated simulation results, with relative differences of around 13%. However more repetitions of the simulations might still be needed to get the best representing result. This value seen to be much higher compared with that obtained in case-1 which used lead for both filter and all sections of the collimator wall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases of Simulation</th>
<th>Case - 1</th>
<th>Case - 2</th>
<th>Case - 3</th>
<th>Case - 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter Thickness (cm)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Bismuth</td>
<td>Bismuth</td>
<td>Bismuth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner section</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Bismuth</td>
<td>Bismuth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle section</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer section</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

A series of simulation cases of beam modeling have been carried out as part of feasibility study on using Kartini reactor’s beam port for PGNAA experimental facility. Tangential beam port has been selected for the purpose of this study. The study was conducted by means of simulation using MCNPX code. Results of simulation indicate that there are several possible variations of collimator models that can provide neutron beam meeting the specified criteria. Based on the simulation results, it is concluded that the tangential beam port is feasible enough for the proposed of PGNAA application with the neutron beam at the beam port exit meets the specified criteria.
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